

Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

_____ East Polk _____ District FY03 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:

Erosion Control	Forest Management	Distance
Gully Control	Habitat Improvement	Grazing Systems
Water Resources	Air Quality	
Wastewater	Impaired Waters	

2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority: N/A
3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district. Weight must be between 1 and 10:

Factor	Resource Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control	High	7
A2 Gully Control	High	7
B1 Water Resource	Medium	5
B2 Wastewater/CNMP	Medium	7
C Habitat Improvement	Medium	3
D Air Quality	Low	1
E Impaired Water	Low	1
F Distance	Medium	9
G Grazing System	High	7
H Forest Mgt.	Low	3
Additional Local*		

* If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored. Include any geographic priorities. N/A

Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district. The Local Work Group used the National Priority Worksheet

4. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document NONE

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 03 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.

Chair, Local Work Group

Date